

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/955,560	09/18/2001	Katsumi Tsukada	P6064a	1318
20178	7590 06/16/2004		EXAMINER	
EPSON RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT INC			RAMAKRISHNAIAH, MELUR	
	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT 150 RIVER OAKS PARKWAY, SUITE 225		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE,	SAN JOSE, CA 95134		2643	5
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	09/955,560	TSUKADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Melur Ramakrishnaiah	2643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who is reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 September 2001 . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the d drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2643

Specification

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C 112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an enabling disclosure

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Independent claims 1 and 6 both recite limitation: "the command circuit being responsive to one of the commands to change the flow of data to one of the display driver and the data processing section to flowing to the other of the display driver and the data processing section". Cursory reading of this limitation implies that there are at least two display drivers and two data processing sections and command circuit effects change from one display driver and the data processing section to the other display driver and data processing section. But according to Applicant's fig. 1, there is shown only one display driver (item 17, fig. 1) and one data processing section (item 16, fig. 1).

Art Unit: 2643

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-4, 6-9, are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being anticipated by Holzhammer et al. (US PAT: 6,092,209, filed 1-19, 1998, hereinafter Holzhammer).

Regarding claim1, Holzhammer discloses a data processing apparatus comprising a display (not shown) for displaying characters and images, a display driver that controls display of characters and images on the display based on commands (col. 4 lines 46-55), a data processing section (reads on computer CPU, not shown, see abstract) that transmits instructions to the display driver device, and a command circuit (reads on 21, fig. 1) that receives and analyzes commands to control flow of data to one of the display driver device and data processing section (col. 4 lines 6-42), the

Art Unit: 2643

command circuit being responsive to one of the commands to change the flow of data to one of display driver and data processing section to flowing to the other of the display driver and data processing section (col. 4 lines 63-67, col. 5 lines 1-6, col. 9 lines 5-14).

Regarding claim 6, Holzhammer further discloses a method of data processing apparatus comprising display device (not shown) for displaying characters and images, a display driver device that controls display of characters and images on the display device based on commands (col. 4 lines 46-55), a data processing section (reads on computer CPU, not shown, see abstract) that transmits instructions to the display driver device, and a command circuit (reads on 21, fig. 1) that receives and analyzes commands, comprising: controlling flow of data, by data processing section, to one of the display driver devices and data processing section (col. 4 lines 6-42), and responding to one of the commands to change the flow of data from flowing to one of display driver and data processing section to flowing to the other of the display driver and data processing section (col. 4 lines 63-67, col. 5 lines 1-6, col. 9 lines 5-14).

Regarding claims 2-4, 7-9, Holzhammer teaches the following: data processing section functions in one of an operation state and low power consumption state, and shifts to low power consumption state in response to a command shift to the low power consumption state or if no command is received for a predetermined period of time, data processing section (reads on computer CPU) functions in one of an operation state and power-saving state, and shifts to the operation state in response to a command requiring processing by the data processing section and shifts to power saving state when the processing is completed (col. 3 lines 11-27, col. 2 lines 30-60, col. 5 lines 7-9),

Art Unit: 2643

data processing section includes display data, key input data from a host CPU and communicated data from or to the host CPU (col. 2 lines 30-60, col. 9 lines 5-14).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5 and 10, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holzhammer in view of Shirai (JP2000224298A).

Regarding claims 5 and 10, Holzhammer does not teach the following: data processing apparatus is one of mobile phone, a hand carry data terminal, a digital still camera, and information home appliance.

However, Shirai discloses portable radio communication equipment which teaches the following: data processing apparatus is one of mobile phone, a hand carry data terminal, a digital still camera, and information home appliance (fig. 1, see abstract).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Holzhammer's system to provide for the following: data processing apparatus is one of mobile phone, a hand carry data terminal, a digital still camera, and information home appliance as this arrangement would provide facilities for

Art Unit: 2643

using other data processing devices such as a radio telephone as taught by Shirai, thus giving user choice to use required communication devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is (703) 305-1461. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-4:00; every other F Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (703)305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2643